Approved For Release 2007/11/21 : CIA-RDP85M00363R000200280001-3 $_{\rm DC\,I}$

NSC Meeting

Export Controls: Libya & USSR

2 December 1983

Please return to: SA/DCI/IA

Approved For Release 2007/11/21: CIA-RDP85M00363R000200280001-3

NSC on Export Controls: Libya & USSR

2 December 1983

Contents

25X1	1.	Agenda & Background Papers	Tab A
25X1	2.	Scope & Limitations of US Sanctions Against Libya, 28 October	Tab B
	3.	Interagency Review of Libyan Sanctions, 31 August	Tab C
25 X 1	4.	Draft DDI Paper: LibyaImpact of Economic Sanctions	Tab D
	5.	Nicaraguan Economic Vulnerability	Tab E



SECRET

and the second of the second o

THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

NIC #8677-83 1 December 1983

> 25X1 25X1

25X1

National Intelligence Council

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

FROM:

Maurice C. Ernst, NIO for Economics

SUBJECT:

December 2 NSC Meeting

meeting: (1)	whether to change the bas and gas equipment and tec	for decision at the December 2 NSC is for unilateral US controls on the USSR from foreign

SECRET

SECRET

7	5	Y	1
_	.)	^	

US Controls on Exports of Oil and Gas Equipment to the USSR

- 5. The US has proposed for COCOM control a list of 21 items of oil and gas equipment and technology. The justification for COCOM controls is that these items have significant actual or potential military uses by the USSR. The proposal has been under review by the ad hoc committee of COCOM, which will meet again in January. The COCOM review process will take at least several more months.
- 6. The items proposed for COCOM control are currently under unilateral US national policy controls. Some applications for licenses of controlled items have been approved. DOD would like to put these items under unilateral national security controls, at least pending a final decision in COCOM. The issues in this case are more bureaucratic than substantive, but feelings are running high. There are all kinds of crosscurrents, some of which are difficult to fathom.
- 7. The attached memo on the issue, prepared by Commerce, gives useful background, but does not present the arguments for or against the proposed change in unilateral controls in a coherent manner. As I understand it, the main issues are the following:
 - (1) Whether the change to national security controls would increase or reduce the chances of COCOM approval of the items? -- It would probably made little difference. The proposed change would underline the seriousness of the US proposals, and would make US unilateral criteria more consistent with our COCOM presentation. Some in State argue, however, that our Allies would react adversely because of concern that denial of US licenses would lead to new extraterritorial hassles.
 - (2) Whether the proposed change would enhance or reduce US flexibility in its own trade policy vis-a-vis the USSR? -- Foreign policy controls are almost certainly more flexible, but they are also less well understood, and consequently are more subject to misunderstandings.

2

SECRET

(3) Whether or not the proposed change would adversely affect US business interests to the advantage of our Allies? -- It seems clear that the shift to national security controls would mean the denial of most license applications for these categories at least until the COCOM review process is completed. This would hurt US firms, although perhaps not much if items not approved by COCOM and readily available outside the US were subsequently dropped from the list.

My personal impression is that what matters most is what kind of signal the US Government tries to give about its trade policy vis-a-vis the USSR and the way this will involve our Allies.

- 8. Besides these substantive issues, there is great concern over who controls the export control decisions (whether DOD or State) and much heat over the way the 13 September ACEP meeting, chaired by Larry Brady, was run. At that meeting, Defense, Energy, and State representatives agreed on a change to national security controls. Subsequently, State strongly reversed its stand, and accused Brady of "railroading" the proposal.
- 9. The list of items in question is the result of a serious attempt by analysts from CIA, Commerce, and DOD, to identify items with substantial military uses. Close relations of many of the items (e.g., navigational and acoustic equipment, deep submersible pumps) already are on the COCOM list. Other items (drilling rigs, well logging equipment) have less direct and obvious military uses, and may be harder for COCOM to accept. Earlier attempts to present a much larger list, which required economic as well as military criteria, were rejected by other COCOM countries, who insisted on using established COCOM criteria. There is no question that imports of Western oil equipment and technology will be of increasing importance to Soviet oil development of the next decade and beyond, especially in deep off-shore areas. Unfortunately, our Allies have shown no sign whatever of being willing to use this potential lever of influence.
- 10. You should also be aware that the NSC has asked the DDI to prepare a study on Soviet imports of strategic oil and gas equipment. SOVA expects to complete this study by December 16th.

Maurice C. Ernst

Attachments, As stated



NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20000

SYSTEM II 91422 Add On

CONFIDENTIAL WITH SECRET ATTACHMENTS

Hovember 30, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR

Mr. Donald P. Gregg Assistant to the Vice President for National Security Affairs Dr. Alton Reel Associate Director for National Security and International Affairs

Mr. Charles Hill Executive Secretary Department of State

Mr. David Pickford Executive Secretary Department of the Treasury

Col. John H. Stanford Executive Secretary Department of Defense

Ms. Helen Robbins
Executive Assistant to the
Secretary
Department of Commerce

Executive Secretary
Central Intelligence Agency

Ms. Jackie Tillman
Executive Assistant to the
United States Representative
to the United Nations

Mr. Dennis Whitfield
Executive Assistant to the
United States Trade
Representative

Brig. General George A. Joulwan Executive Assistant to the Chairman Joint Chiefs of Staff The Pentagon

SUBJECT:

National Security Council Meeting on Export Controls: Libya and the USSR

Attached are the agenda and background papers for the NSC meeting on the above subject, scheduled for Friday, December 2, at 11:00 a.m. in the Cabinet Room.

Robert M. Rimmitt Executive Secretary

Attachments
Agenda
State Paper
Commerce Paper

SECRET

25X1

25X1

25X1

